Code of ethics

It is the responsibility of texts and meanings:

1. Receive item sent and determine that meets the criteria of thematic relevance and editorial structure.

2. Select competent professionals, specialists in the field who works the author, to serve as jurors readers of different items. Each article is reviewed by two professionals.

3. Gather the concepts of readers juries and send them to the authors so that they can improve their articles.

4. After adjustments of columnists, send documents to a proofreader to enhance the quality of them.

5. Schedule the publication of the article.

6. Inform columnists on the status of your product.

Clarifications:

1. The publication of an article depends on the following points:
   a. The relevance and quality of the article, which must be the result of a research process.
   b. Strict compliance with the requirements established by the Editorial Committee, including set times for the different phases of the process.
   c. The favorable opinion of the readers jurors.
   d. Applications of conceptual improvements and form suggested by readers and by the copyeditor jurors.

2. The editorial decisions of texts and senses away from any ethnic, political, religious interest, etc.

3. Items submitted by members of the Editorial Committee or by professionals who have played the role of readers jurors are subjected to the same evaluation process, double-blind opinion than any other author.

4. If a writer feels uncomfortable with the process, the Editorial Committee texts and meanings set a time for analysis of the arguments presented.
Responsibilities of authors

1. Commit to the originality of the item shipping. Any text previously published will be rejected. It is understood that an article was already published when:

- The full text is part of another printed or digital publication (in any language).

- Article sent contains extensive fragments of previously published material or is part of published reports.

2. Give faith that data and research results have not been manipulated to benefit interests of third parties.

3. Quote and reference asides that are taken from the productions of other academic or own articles.

4. Grant claims relating to the people who contributed significantly in conducting research that gives life to the article.

5. Refrain from sending another publication the article was nominated for publication in texts and senses while the arbitration process is performed.

6. Assume the item shipping policies are accepted Magazine.

Responsibilities of readers juries

1. Perform a judicious, honest and constructive review of both substance and form, the article was assigned.

2. Assume the revision of a manuscript as long as they feel competent in the subject to review and if there are no conflicts of interest.

3. Use the evaluation form provided by texts and ways to record the findings and suggested changes, and the observations justifying why is accepted or the publication of an article is rejected.

4. Warn, if you are aware that parts of the document were already published or are under review in another publication, if applicable.